

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,119	03/11/2004	Yih-Lin Chung	55701-004002	8809
6971.3 7599 120842911 OCCHIUTI ROHLICEK & TSAO, LLP 10 FAWCETT STREET			EXAMINER	
			PAGONAKIS, ANNA	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@ORTPATENT.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/798,119	CHUNG, YIH-LIN			
Examiner	Art Unit			
ANNA PAGONAKIS	1628			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies; (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706,07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,

may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL

- The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
- (a)

 ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) X will not be entered, or b) X will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
 - Claim(s) objected to:
 - Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,11,14-16 and 29
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-10,12,13,24-28,30 and 31.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13.
 Other:

/Brandon J Fetterolf/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1628

/Anna Pagonakis/ Examiner, Art Unit 1628

Continuation of 3 NOTE:

Applicant's after-final amendment dated 12/1/2011 will not be entered into the record because the amendment to claim 1 and, thus, the claims dependent therefrom, raise a new issue that requires further consideration and/or search.

Applicant's after-final amendment proposes the addition of the limitation "identifying a subject that has been or is at risk of being exposed to radiation". The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search on whom the result is not be entered into the record. In particular, it is noted that the proposed claims were examined insofar as they read on administering a composition containing a histone hyperacetylating agent. However the proposed claim amendments presently include the above mentioned limitation, which identifies a specific patient population, In other words, entry of the proposed claim amendment would necessitate further search and consideration. In light of this, its, thus proper to deny entry of the proposed claim amendments.

In addition, the proposed claim amendments are not deemed to palce the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal because they raise new issues that require consideration under 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112 and the additional search and examination of new species which were not previously considered or searched.

Accordingly, the proposed after-final amendment of 12/1/2011 will not be entered into the record because it raises new issues that require further consideration and/or search as noted supra, and therefore does not materially reduce or simplify the issues for appeal.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowawnce because:

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the present application in light of the amendments and remarks proposed and presented in the after-final amendment has been made. In light of the fact that the proposed amendments to the claims will not be entered into the record the accompanying remarks are not found persuasive.

In the absence of additional arguments or remarks regarding the patentability of the present claims, the claim amendments will not be entered and the claims remain rejected of record previously set forth in 9/1/2011.